СЕКЦІЯ 1. НАУКОВО-МЕТОДИЧНІ ТА ПРАКТИЧНІ ЗАСАДИ МЕНЕДЖМЕНТУ СУЧАСНИХ ОРГАНІЗАЦІЙ

Pareto efficiency and strategic management

Elizabeth Artamonova
student,
University of Economics and Law «KROK» Kyiv, Ukraine,
e-mail: ArtamonovaLY@krok.edu.ua
Vita Andrieieva
Ph.D. in Economics, Associate Professor,
Associate Professor of economics and finance department,
University of Economics and Law «KROK» Kyiv, Ukraine,
e-mail: AndrieievaVA@krok.edu.ua,
ORCID: 0000-0002-6357-3063

Pareto Efficiency - sometimes called Pareto Optimality - refers to an allocation of resources where no one can be made better off without making at least one other person worse off. In simple terms, if there's still a way to improve someone's situation without harming anyone else, you haven't reached a Pareto-efficient outcome yet:

1. Core Idea: Once an outcome is Pareto efficient, you can't make further improvements for anyone without causing a disadvantage for someone else.

2. Individual Focus: This concept places a strong emphasis on each individual's well-being within the economy, rather than looking only at aggregate gains.

Pareto Analysis is a robust statistical technique employed to identify and prioritize the most significant factors in a given dataset, based on the understanding that not all elements contribute equally to the outcome. Rooted in the broader framework of the Pareto Principle, this analytical method serves as a tool to focus on the few causes that generate the majority of effects, thus enabling decision-makers to allocate resources more efficiently and address the most impactful areas of concern. By systematically categorizing and quantifying data, Pareto Analysis assists in the identification of the "vital few" factors that should be addressed to achieve the greatest improvements, while the "trivial many" can often be deprioritized. This method's application spans across various fields, including business management, quality control, healthcare, and economics, where it serves as a cornerstone for optimizing processes, solving problems, and improving overall performance [1].

The Logic Behind Pareto Efficiency:

• No More Improvements: If you can still shift resources around to benefit someone without hurting anyone else, you're not in a Pareto-efficient state.

• Voluntary Exchanges: In many market scenarios, individuals negotiate or trade until they can't both become better off. That final point is often considered Pareto efficient.

• Not Necessarily Equal: Importantly, Pareto Efficiency doesn't guarantee fairness or equality. It only ensures that no more "win-win" moves are possible.

In today's highly competitive business environment, particularly with the global

business environment and enhanced market competition, the existence of businesses highly depends on their ability to improve their manufacturing strategies [2].

Economists frequently use Pareto Efficiency to evaluate how well markets and policies function. In theory are [3]:

1. Welfare Economics: Pareto Efficiency is a benchmark to see if resources are being utilized without leaving beneficial exchanges on the table.

2. Continuum Economies: Some research investigates how large-scale or "continuum" economies can reach states that align with ideal, utilitarian allocations. This involves exploring mathematical conditions under which nobody can be made better off without somebody else losing out.

3. Policy Framework: Governments use Pareto Efficiency to decide if certain policies—like taxes, subsidies, or public projects—can improve overall welfare without unintentionally harming other groups.

Examples and Applications:

• Market Transactions: When people buy and sell goods freely, they keep trading until both sides see no further gains. This helps markets inch closer to Pareto efficiency over time, assuming rational behavior and competition.

• Risk Management and Insurance: In insurance, companies and policyholders try to structure premiums and coverage so that changes can benefit some customers without raising costs for others. This balancing act can be viewed through a Pareto lens to see if any "win-win" adjustments remain.

• Environmental Management: Some research focuses on reconciling farmland production with biodiversity conservation in a way that doesn't disproportionately harm either side. The idea is to find a point where improvements to one goal (like biodiversity) do not unnecessarily compromise the other goal (like farm yield).

At a Pareto optimum, indemnification functions are those that minimize the total of all agents' risk exposure, and they depend on the agents' assessment of the likelihoods associated with their loss tail events. Premia in a Pareto-optimal contracts are then determined so that the individual rationality (market participation) constraints are satisfied [4].

Common Critiques and Limitations [5]:

1. Ignores Inequality: Pareto Efficiency does not address issues of equity or fairness. One party could have the majority of resources, and it can still be Pareto efficient if no further beneficial reallocation is possible.

2. Real-World Complexity: In reality, individuals may not act perfectly rationally, and markets aren't always frictionless. External factors, like information asymmetry and incomplete markets, can prevent a system from reaching Pareto efficiency.

3. Externalities: When an action affects third parties not directly involved (such as pollution), private market transactions might fail to reflect the broader impact. Additional interventions or regulations are often needed to push towards a more efficient outcome.

Pareto Efficiency offers a clear benchmark for identifying when an allocation of resources leaves no further room for mutual gain. It's a guiding principle in welfare

economics and a useful tool for policymakers. However, it doesn't guarantee fairness, nor does it solve problems such as externalities or irrational decision-making. Recognizing its strengths and limits helps us make better decisions about how to allocate resources in society.

A Pareto analysis can start by reviewing a combination of organizational inputs from a functional perspective, similar to the process in a SWOT analysis. The elements to analyse in terms of their efficiency, or lack thereof, would be finance, management, infrastructure, supply chains, manufacturing, distribution channels, marketing, and innovation resources.

The Pareto effect also applies to many other dimensions, such as customers, sales force and reputational equity. In many businesses there is a strong tendency to add new products and customers while failing to eliminate those which are obsolete or unprofitable.

When faced with the need for rationalization of non-viable products and/or customers, the sales function in most businessesis extremely reluctant to undertake such actions. This is so despite the fact that, at worst, 20% of customers and products may well account for the majority of costs in areas such as stocks, production costs, computer facilities, and administration. Conducting a Pareto analysis of a business along the major strategic dimensions is therefore a significant exercise, and one that needs to be undertaken periodically to ensure that inefficiencies are not replicated and that efficient elements are exploited [6].

Key words: Pareto efficiency, Pareto effect, resource allocation, SWOT-analysis, strategic management

References

1. Majka, M. Pareto Analysis: The 80/20 Rule for Effective Decision-Making.

2. Sankar, S. B., & Mathirajan, M. (2023). A Pareto Analysis of Critical Success Factors of Lean Production System. In 8th North America Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management.

3. Yackel Adams, A. A., Hostetter, N. J., Link, W. A., & Converse, S. J. (2024). Identifying Pareto-efficient eradication strategies for invasive populations. Conservation Letters, 17(5), e13051.

4. Boonen, T. J., Chong, W. F., & Ghossoub, M. (2024). Pareto-efficient risk sharing in centralized insurance markets with application to flood risk. Journal of Risk and Insurance, 91(2), 449-488.

5. Kursunoglu, N. (2023). Risk assessment of coal dust explosions in coal mines using a combined fuzzy risk matrix and Pareto analysis approach. Mining, Metallurgy & Exploration, 40(6), 2305-2317.

6. Powell, T., & Sammut-Bonnici, T. (2014). Pareto analysis.